

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-2/08/2025

M/s. Fluor projects Inc. vs. APFC/ RPFC, Gurugram East.

Present: Sh. Rakesh Sharma, A/R for the Appellant.
Sh. Chakradhar Panda, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-29.10.2025

A/R of the appellant submits that the main counsel is not well today so, he is unable to file rejoinder.

Record perused. Respondent has filed a Misc. application stating that appeal has been filed against the 7A order as well as 14B which is not permissible as per the Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1997.

Though, record further reveals that in fact, appellant has complied the order passed by the authority u/s 7A in the year 2021 itself. However, the Karnataka High Court had passed the judgment where the provision of para 83 of the EPF Scheme, 1952 in respect of international worker have been declared unconstitutional so he has also made his prayer to refund the amount.

Perused the record. Prayer of the appellant was unusual because the order has been passed much before the law has come into force and the fact that appellant has not preferred any appeal in that respect. Considering the fact that appellant counsel is not well, the case is listed for filing of rejoinder and argument on 19.11.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)