

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/41/2025

M/s. Jigson Enterprises vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi East.

Present:

Proxy for Sh. Pranab Prakash, Id. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. S.N. Mahanta, Id. Counsel & Sh. Deepak Kumar, A/R for
the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

This is a fresh appeal filed by the appellant assailing the order passed under section **7A of the EPF & MP Act, 1952** respectively whereby an amount of Rs. 25,15,659/- is assessed as EPF dues.

Record perused. Earlier the appellant had filed the appeal however, due to the technical error, the appeal was allowed to be withdrawn.

Record reveals that earlier, during the course of proceedings of the earlier appeal, interim order was granted by this Tribunal against the recovery in question. This Tribunal also extended the interim protection against recovery for a week to the appellant for filing of this appeal afresh.

The office has reported that the present appeal had been filed within a week in compliance with the order. The respondent is hereby refrained from taking any coercive action till further order. Put up for filing of reply to the misc. application filed under section 70 of the Act.

List the matter on 05.11.2025 for the same purpose.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/42/2025

**M/s. Municipal Corporation of Delhi (Zonal Office Narela) vs. APFC/RPFC,
Delhi North.**

Present: Sh. Yash Jain, proxy for Ms. Divya Swami, Id. counsel for
the appellant.
Ms. Kavya Dixit, proxy for Sh. Kaushik Kumar Dey, Id.
Counsel & Sh. A.S. Negi, A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

This is a fresh appeal filed by the appellant assailing two orders passed under section **14B & 7Q of the EPF & MP Act, 1952** respectively whereby an amount of Rs. 2,80,20,460/- is assessed as damages and Rs. 1,44,91,326/- as interest for the belated payment of EPF dues during the period 01.09.2022 to 31.03.2024.

Perusal of the office report reveals that the appeal is not filed within time. Along with the appeal, the appellant has filed a misc. application seeking condonation of delay as well as stay. The delay in filing of the appeal is merely of nineteen days over and above the period of limitation of first sixty days. This being the government institution delay is obvious due to red-tapism. The delay in filing the appeal is condoned by exercising the discretionary powers as per **Rule 7(2) of the Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1997**.

The proxy counsel appearing on behalf of Sh. Kaushik Kumar Dey has filed the vakalatnama. She further seeks time to file reply to the misc. application for granting stay as well as to the main appeal.

Put up the matter on 27.10.2025. In the meanwhile, respondent is restrained from any taking coercive action till further order.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/08/2025

Sarvesh Security Services Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC / RPFC Delhi East

Present: Ms. Rajeshwari, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Narendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Deepak Kumar,
A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Appellant has filed the compliance report and enclosed the FDR of the amount as directed by this court. Let it be listed for filing of reply of the main appeal. Put up for 06.11.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/34/2024

M/s BSES Yamuna Power Limited vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi South.

Present: None for the Appellant.

Sh. B.B. Pradhan, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Ashutosh Kumar
Singh, A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Record perused. Appellant is required to file rejoinder. However, he has not filed nor anybody has appeared. In these circumstances, right to filing of rejoinder is closed. Put up for argument on 08.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/71/2024

M/s MI2C Security and Facilities Pvt. Ltd. Vs. APFC/RPFC, Delhi North

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Tejasvi Goel, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Respondent filed the reply of this appeal and the same is taken on record. Copy is supplied to the opposite party. Put up for filing of rejoinder within 4 weeks otherwise right to filing of rejoinder would be closed. Advance copy should be supplied to the opposite party. Put up for argument on 08.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

965(4)2014

Bhandari Builders Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi.

Present: Sh. S.K. Gupta, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Respondent submits that the main counsel Sh. Manu Parashar is not available today due to his pre-occupation. Appellant filed written arguments after highlighting the portion in bold letters. Copy is supplied to the A/R appearing for the respondent. Let it be fixed for final arguments on 09.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

7(4)2015

Alfa Bhoj Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/ RPFC Delhi

Present: Sh. Rajeev Arora, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Anand Singh Negi, A/R for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

The A/R appearing for the A/R appearing for the respondent submits that his counsel, Sh. Ajay Pal Tushir is not available today. Put up for final argument on 09.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

333(4)2015

Woven Gold Bath Impression Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC / RPFC Delhi

Present: Sh Ankit, proxy for Sh. Anurag Lakhotia, Id. Counsel for
the Appellant.
Sh. Narender Kumar, Id. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Ld. Counsel for the respondent submits that he has been assigned this case by the department recently. He seeks time for going through the file. Let it be fixed for final argument as well as filing of authority letter. Put up for 01.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

847(4)2016

Aegis Group vs. APFC/ RPFC Delhi (North)

Present: Sh. Chetan Singh, proxy Counsel for Ms. Shruti Munjal,
Id. counsel for the Appellant.
None for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Record perused. This matter has been adjourned enblock since 09.09.2024. In these circumstances, this case is listed for final arguments for 11.12.2025. In the meanwhile, let notice be issued to the respondent. Appellant is at liberty to file written arguments after serving advance copy to the opposite party.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/118/2019

Himgiri Automobiles Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/ RPFC Delhi East.

Present: Sh. Rajeev Arora, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Narendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel & Sh. Deepak Kumar,
for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Appellant seeks time for filing of rejoinder. Put up for filing of rejoinder on 27.11.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-1/40/2024

MFB Geotech Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/ RPFC Delhi West.

Present: Sh. None, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Narendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

Office has reported he has received email about the appellant counsel about his unavailability to appear in this court. Respondent has also received the same mail. In these circumstances, let it be fixed for final arguments on 03.12.2025.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)

**BEFORE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM
LABOUR COURT, DELHI**

D-76/2024, D-1/77/2024 & D-1/78/2024

Orion Security Solutions Pvt. Ltd. vs. APFC/RPFC Delhi Central.

Present: Sh. Rajeev Arora, Counsel for the Appellant.
Sh. Pradeep Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the
Respondent.

Order Dated-24.09.2025

These are the three appeals filed by the appellant against the respondent. Earlier these cases have been assigned to Sh. Rajesh Kumar. Now Sh. Pradeep Kumar Shukla has filed his authority letter in these cases. Let these cases be listed for filing of rejoinder within 6 weeks with a direction to supply the advance copy to the respondent. Let it be fixed for final argument on 16.12.2025. A copy of this order is placed in each of the files.

Atul Kumar Garg
(Presiding Officer)