

ID No.48/2023

16.04.2026

Present: Sh. H S Bhatti, AR for union.
Ms. Anita Ahuja, Id. counsel for respondent no.1.
None for respondent no.2.

Reply to the application dated 15.01.2026 filed by AR for respondent no.1. Be kept on record. Copy supplied. It is stated by the AR for respondent no.1 that she accept the reply dated 06.09.2022 and it is to be read as a whole and the Tribunal is to interpret whether demand of the employees have been admitted or not. Heard. In view of the statement of counsel for respondent no.1, the application stands disposed of. However, effect of reply dated 06.09.2022 would be seen at the time of deciding the case on merit.

AR for respondent no.1 also stated that she is representing only respondent no.1 and not respondent no.2 i.e. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, New Delhi through its Secretary. So for deciding the case effectively, respondent no.2 be also summoned. This request has also been made by AR for union. Summons be sent to respondent no.2 as per normal procedure as well as through email. Dasti notice be also given to AR for union for service of respondent no.2. The case is adjourned for 26.05.2026 for appearance on behalf of respondent no.2.

(Kamal Kant)
Presiding Officer
Central Government Industrial
Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II,
Chandigarh
(16.04.2026)

Typed By:
Abhishek